

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

6.5840 Distributed System Engineering: Spring 2023 Exam II

Please write your name on the bottom of each page. You have 120 minutes to complete this exam.

Some questions may be much harder than others. Read them all through first and attack them in the order that allows you to make the most progress. If you find a question ambiguous, write down any assumptions you make. Write neatly. In order to receive full credit you must answer each question as precisely as possible.

You may use class notes, papers, and lab material. You may read them on your laptop, but you are not allowed to use any network. For example, you may not look at web sites, use ChatGPT, or communicate with anyone.

The maximum number points available is 66.

Gradescope E-Mail Address:

Name:
Name:

I Distributed Transactions

Quentin Querier is designing a distributed transaction processing system. His goal is to provide results that are serializable, as described in Lecture 12 (Distributed Transactions) and the assigned readings in Chapter 9 of the 6.033 book.

Quentin is thinking about optimizing read-only transactions, which read database records but do not modify any records. He wonders whether it would be OK for read-only transactions to hold each lock only for the brief period required to examine each record. That is, for a transaction T1 that reads records X and Y and prints the values, Quentin wonders if it would be OK for the implementation to acquire and release locks at the indicated places:

1. [5 points]: It turns out that Quentin's optimization can result in non-serializable results. Please demonstrate this to Quentin by providing a second transaction T2 that, when run at about the same time as T1, could cause T1 to print a result that could not result from any serializable execution of T1 and T2. Feel free to include writes in your T2. You should assume that before T1 and T2 begin, records X and Y both contain value zero. Please indicate where the implementation acquires and releases each lock in T2. Your locking scheme for T2 must obey two-phase locking.

Name:

II Frangipani

Name:

Ernie and Bert store shared files in the system described in *Frangipani: A Scalable Distributed File System*, by Thekkath *et al.* Each of them has a workstation that runs Frangipani, connected to a Petal storage service. Ernie reads the file called README and sees that its entire content is the two bytes xy. At this point, Ernie's workstation is caching the file README. A few seconds later, Bert runs a program that replaces just the first byte in the file with the character z. A few seconds after that, Ernie reads the file, and sees that it contains zy.

a program that replaces just the first byte in the file with the character z. A few seconds after Ernie reads the file, and sees that it contains zy.
2. [5 points]: Explain what causes Ernie's second read to yield zy rather than the content it cached after the first read, xy .

III Spanner

Consider Spanner: Google's Globally-Distributed Database by Corbett et al.

3. [5 points]: If read-only transactions used TT.now() .earliest as timestamps instead of TT.now() .latest, then Spanner wouldn't be linearizable. Briefly explain why.

4. [5 points]: Consider a read-only transaction that involves reading from multiple Paxos groups and assume that Spanner picks a random replica in each group to read from. Is there an upper bound on how long this read-only transaction may block? (Briefly explain your answer.)

IV FaRM

Consider the FaRM execute/commit protocol in Figure 4 of *No compromises: distributed transactions with consistency, availability, and performance.* Developers interact with FaRM using transactions; the API consists of txCreate, txWrite, txRread and txCommit. Consider the following code for transaction T1:

txRead() uses RDMA to read an object with a given object identifier into local memory. ox is a local variable. txWrite() buffers the written data, which is written to FaRM during the commit phase if the transaction commits. Because FaRM uses optimistic concurrency control it may abort a transaction.

5. [**5 points**]: Write the code for a transaction T2 such that if T1 and T2 run concurrently on FaRM it is likely that T2 will abort due to failing the VALIDATE phase in Figure 4. (Briefly explain your answer.)

V DynamoDB

A customer is using an early version of DynamoDB that lacks the improvements described starting at Section 4.1 of *Amazon DynamoDB: A Scalable, Predictably Performant, and Fully Managed NoSQL Database Service*, by Elhemali *et al.*

The customer knows that they will never need to perform more than 1000 reads per second. The customer tells DynamoDB to provision 1000 RCUs of throughput. The customer also provisions sufficient WCUs for writes, which you can ignore for this question.

At first, DynamoDB stores all of the customer's data in a single partition. The customer, who keeps an eye on performance, notes that DynamoDB never rejects (throttles) any of the customer's requests.

As time goes on, the amount of data the customer stores in DynamoDB increases, though the customer's read load (in terms of requests per second) stays the same. Because of the increase in total data, DynamoDB splits the customer's partition in half, so that half the customer's data is in one partition, and the other half in the other partition.

However, after this split, the customer starts seeing that DynamoDB rejects some read requests, even though the pattern and volume of the customer's requests has not changed at all.

6. [5	points]:	Explain	why g	going	from	one to ty	wo pa	artitions	could	cause	this	throttlin	g
------	---	----------	---------	-------	-------	------	-----------	-------	-----------	-------	-------	------	-----------	---

ame:			

VI Spark

Consider the paper Resilient Distributed Datasets: A Fault-Tolerant Abstraction for In-Memory Cluster Computing by Zaharia et al.. For each of the following statements indicate it is true or false:

7. [4 points]:

True / False: Spark will execute MapReduce applications such as K-means faster than MapReduce because intermediate data is stored in memory instead of on disk.

True / False: All Spark transformation materializes the output RDD.

True / False: Invoking the default persist on an RDD avoids having to recompute the RDD using the lineage graph from its inputs when a node fails.

True / False : Sparks stores the data of an RDD on a single node.

3 . T		
Name:		

VII Memcached at Facebook

Replicating and sharding data over thousands of servers are the two main tools used to serve a high rate of requests in *Scaling Memcache at Facebook* by Nishtala *et al.* Both techniques help performance in some circumstances but hurt in others. For each of the following statements, indicate whether it is true or false.

8. [4 points]:

True / False: Sharding is likely to perform better than replication when the same few items are the targets of most client read requests.

True / False: Sharding allows more distinct items to be stored in a given amount of RAM than replication.

True / False: Sharding requires more work to be performed when the underlying data is written than replication.

True / False: Sharding is better than replication at reducing packet rates by packing more get requests into each packet (this packing is the "multiget" technique mentioned in Section 6.1).

Name:			
Name:			

VIII Zanzibar

- **9.** [5 points]: What function do Zanzibar's zookies perform? Circle the single most correct answer.
 - A. They identify the requesting user.
 - **B.** They indicate the order in which Zanzibar executes operations.
 - **C.** They help ensure that decisions use the most recent ACL data.
 - **D.** They help ensure that decisions use ACL data no later than the time in the Zookie.
 - E. They help ensure that decisions use ACL data no earlier than the time in the Zookie.

Name:		

IX SUNDR

Users U1 and U2 share files with SUNDR	Secure Untrusted Data Re	pository, by Li et al.).
--	--------------------------	--------------------------

The following sequence of events occurs:

- A. U2 creates an empty file named README.
- **B.** Then U1 appends x to README.
- C. Then U2 appends y.
- **D.** Then U1 appends z.
- **E.** Then U2 reads README.

The server returns a successful result to each of these operations, and neither U1 nor U2 nor their client software detect any problems.

There are no other clients. U1 and U2 run correct software on their client workstations, faithfully executing the client side of the SUNDR protocol. U1 and U2 are honest, are legitimate users of the SUNDR system, and have permission to read and write README. U1 and U2 do not communicate with each other (other than by reading and writing data in SUNDR).

The SUNDR server may be malicious.

- 10. [6 points]: What can U2's final read return? Circle all that apply.
 - A. File README does not exist.
 - **B.** README exists but is empty.
 - **C.** README contains exactly x.
 - **D.** README contains exactly xy.
 - **E.** README contains exactly y.
 - **F.** README contains exactly z.

Name:

X PBFT

Consider the PBFT protocol as described in the paper "Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance" by Castro and Liskov.

11. [5 points]: Ben is worried that a malicious primary can cause a client request to be executed twice with different sequence numbers, which would break linearizability. For example, if the primary receives a message m from a client, and then sends PRE-PREPARE< v, n, d>, m and later PRE-PREPARE< v, n+1, d>, m, will honest replicas execute m twice? (The PRE-PREPARE messages are correctly signed by the primary, m is correctly signed by the client, v is the current view, v and is the correct next sequence number, and v is the digest of v.)

Name:			
Name:			

XI Bitcoin

12. [5 points]: Suppose that one day, all at the same time, 90% of Bitcoin miners (and 90% of the mining hardware) decide to switch to mining the up-and-coming Dogecoin cryptocurrency. Briefly explain what effect this sudden decrease in mining activity would have on users of Bitcoin.

XII GroveKV

In Figure 2 of the paper "Grove: a separation-logic library for verifying distributed systems with leases", the primary applies an update to its local state before sending the update to the backups.

13. [5 points]: Suppose the primary receives a get operation at line 8 for key that the primary just updated at line 6. Can the primary serve the get operation from its local state immediately? (Briefly explain your answer.)

Name:

XII

Ι	6.5840
1	4. [1 points]: Which lectures/papers should we omit in future years?
	- Distributed Transactions - 8
	- Frangipani - 11
	- Spanner - 2
	- FaRM - 3
	- DynamoDB - 13
	- Spark - 7
	 Memcached at Facebook - 4
	- Zanzibar - 24
	- SUNDR - 5
	- PBFT - 0
	- Bitcoin - 3
	- Ethereum - 16
	- GroveKV - 18
1	5. [1 points]: Do you have any feedback for us about 6.5840?

End of Exam II